## 85. Synthesis of 1*H*-1,2,3-Triazoles from 2-Substituted Cyclododecanones and Phenyl Azide

by Vassil I. Ognyanov<sup>1</sup>) and Manfred Hesse\*

Organisch-chemisches Institut der Universität Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zürich

Dedicated to Prof. Dr. Burchard Franck on the occasion of his 65th birthday

(25.IV.91)

By reaction with phenyl azide, 2-cyano- and 2-(ethoxycarbonyl)-substituted cyclododecanones are converted into 5-amino- and 5-hydroxy-1*H*-1,2,3-triazoles, respectively. The possible reaction mechanism is discussed.

The base-catalyzed condensation of active methylene compounds **I** with azides, first described by *Dimroth* [1], is a general synthetic method for the construction of the 1*H*-1,2,3-triazole ring system [2]. The reaction proceeds through a triazene intermediate [3] formed by a nucleophilic attack of the carbanion of the active methylene compound on the terminal N-atom of the azide. Depending on the nature of the activating groups of the methylene compound, further regiospecific cyclization to a dihydrotriazole, followed by aromatization, leads to the formation of 5-amino- [4], 5-hydroxy- [1] [5] [6], or 5-alkyl-substituted [1] [6] [7] 1*H*-1,2,3-triazoles **II** (*Scheme 1*).



On leave from the Institute of Organic Chemistry with Centre of Phytochemistry, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria.

Despite of the presence of a vast number of literature data on the *Dimroth* reaction, little is known about the reactivity of active methylene compounds substituted at the central C-atom. It was shown that the reaction of azides with alkyl- or aryl-substituted malonic esters [1] [6] or ethyl acetoacetates [1] follows a different course. In both cases, the substituent is entering at C(4) of the newly formed 5-hydroxy-1*H*-1,2,3-triazole, and the reaction is accompanied by decarboxylation. Since cycloalkanones substituted at C(2) with an electron-withdrawing group could be regarded as cyclic analogues of monosubstituted active methylene compounds, we were interested to investigate their behaviour under the conditions of the *Dimroth* reaction.

Treatment of 1-oxocyclododecane-2-carbonitrile (1) [8] with phenyl azide (2) [9] in the presence of a catalytic amount of NaOEt in EtOH/THF for four days at room temperature led to the formation of 5-amino-1-phenyl-1*H*-1,2,3-triazole **3** and lactam **4** in 60 and 10 % yield, respectively. It is known that, in the presence of base, 1-substituted 5-amino-1*H*-1,2,3-triazoles undergo *Dimroth* rearrangement into 5-(arylamino)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazoles substituted at the 5-amino group [10] [11]. Rearrangement of **3** in refluxing pyridine gave the corresponding 5-(phenylamino)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazole **5** in 98 % yield, thus providing an additional confirmation of the proposed structure of **3** (*Scheme* 2).



a) 0.2 Equiv. of NaOEt, EtOH, THF, 20°. b) Py, reflux. c) 0.2 Equiv. of NaOEt, EtOH, 20° or reflux. d) 0.2 Equiv. of NaH, THF, 20°. e) 1 Equiv. of NaOEt, EtOH, THF, 20°.

The formation of 3 might proceed through a mechanism analogous to that of the reaction of the corresponding monosubstituted active methylene compounds with azides (see Scheme 1): After the initial nucleophilic attack of the carbanion of 1, the triazene intermediate A undergoes regiospecific cyclization onto the CN function to dihydrotriazole intermediate B; nucleophilic ring opening induced by EtO<sup>-</sup> then leads to the stable triazole 3 (Scheme 3). A similar ring opening of bicyclic compounds possessing an electron-withdrawing substituent serving as an anion-stabilizing group was used in our studies on the ring enlargement of cycloalkanones [12]. In the present case, the stabilization of the anion is assisted by an aromatization process. An experiment aiming at the isolation of intermediate B was conducted by using NaH instead of NaOEt as a base. Unfortunately, enol ester 6 was isolated as the main product, probably resulting from the nucleophilic ring opening of 1 (Scheme 2).



The side product of the reaction of 1 with NaOEt, the 14-membered lactam 4, could be regarded as a ring-enlarged product of 1 implying the CN function. We assume that 4 is formed by ring opening of intermediate **B**, rather than by intramolecular amidation of 3 (*Scheme 3*). Indeed, treatment of 3 with a catalytic or equivalent amount of NaOEt in EtOH at room temperature or under reflux gave a complex product mixture in which 4 could not be detected (*Scheme 2*).

Ethyl 2-oxocyclododecanecarboxylate (7) [13] reacted in an analogous manner with phenyl azide (2) in the presence of 1 equiv. NaOEt in EtOH/THF to give the corresponding 5-hydroxy-1-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole 8 in 48% yield (*Scheme 2*).

These preliminary results show that cycloalkanones activated at C(2) with an electronwithdrawing group can be used successfully in the *Dimroth* reaction. Depending on the ring size and the type of the activating group of the cycloalkanone or the type of the azide, suitably 1,4,5-trisubstituted- 1*H*-1,2,3-triazoles could be prepared. Further investigations in this direction are in progress.

The support of this work by the Swiss National Science Foundation is gratefully acknowledged.

## **Experimental Part**

*General.* If not otherwise mentioned, the following conditions were applied: the reactions were run in dry org. solvents under Ar; before evaporation, org. solns. were dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$ . Column chromatography (CC): silica gel *Merck 60*, 0.040–0.063 mm. M.p.: uncorrected. IR spectra (cm<sup>-1</sup>): in KBr; *Perkin-Elmer-781* instrument. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra: in CDCl<sub>3</sub>; *Bruker-AC-300* spectrometer; chemical shifts in ppm rel. to internal TMS (=0 ppm), coupling constants J in Hz. <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra: in CDCl<sub>3</sub>; *Varian-XL-200* instrument; multiplicities from <sup>1</sup>H-decoupled or DEPT spectra. MS: *Varian-MAT-711* or *Varian-MAT-112* systems; chemical ionisation (Cl); peaks in *m*/*z*.

1. Ethyl 11-(5'-Amino-1'-phenyl-1'H-1',2',3'-triazol-4'-yl)undecanoate (3) and 6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13-Octahydro-3-phenyl-3H-1,2,3-triazolo[4,5-b]azacyclotetradecen-5(4H)-one (4). To a soln. of 1 [8] (1.03 g, 5 mmol) and 2 [9] (0.714 g, 6 mmol) in THF (2 ml) was added 21% NaOEt soln. of in EtOH (0.32 ml, 1 mmol). After stirring at 20° for 3 days, the mixture was dissolved in Et<sub>2</sub>O, washed with 10% aq. NaOH and sat. NaCI soln., dried, and evaporated. The residue was chromatographed (100 g of silica gel, Et<sub>2</sub>O/hexane 3:1): 3 (more unpolar, 1.12 g, 60%) and 4 (0.16 g, 10%).

*Data of* **3**: M.p. 61.5–62.5° (Et<sub>2</sub>O/hexane). IR: 3364, 3324, 3200, 1736, 1650, 1600. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 7.58–7.45 (*m*, 5 arom. H); 4.11 (q, J = 7.2, CH<sub>2</sub>O); 3.67 (br. s, NH<sub>2</sub>, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O); 2.59 (t, J = 7.6, 2 H–C(11)); 2.27 (t, J = 7.5, 2 H–C(2)); 1.79–1.60 (m, 4 H); 1.34–1.22 (m, 15 H), therein at 1.24 (t, J = 7.2, CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 173.7 (s, COO); 137.1 (s, 1 arom. C); 135.7 (s, C(4')); 130.6 (s, C(5')); 129.6, 128.7, 123.9 (3 d, 5 arom. C); 60.0 (t, CH<sub>2</sub>O); 34.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>); 29.3 (4 CH<sub>2</sub>); 29.1, 29.0, 28.8, 24.8, 24.5 (5 CH<sub>2</sub>); 14.1 (q, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O). CI-MS: 373 ([M + 1]<sup>+</sup>), 344 ([M – N<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>). Anal. calc. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>32</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (372.50): C 67.71, H 8.66, N 15.04; found: C 67.98, H 8.74, N 15.32.

*Data of* **4**: M.p. 187.5–188.5° (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/Et<sub>2</sub>O). IR: 3440, 3236, 1672, 1606. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 8.01 (*s*, NH, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O); 7.48 (*s*, 5 arom. H); 2.60 (*t*, J = 8.4, 2 H–C(13)); 2.34 (*t*, J = 6.0, 2 H–C(6)); 1.73–1.63 (*m*, 4 H); 1.4–1.21 (*m*, 12 H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 173.9 (*s*, CONH); 143.6 (*s*, C (3a)); 135.7 (*s*, 1 arom. C); 129.2 (2 *d*, 3 arom. H); 128.9 (*s*, C(13a)); 123.7 (*d*, 2 arom. H); 35.7, 27.0, 26.8, 25.8 (4 CH<sub>2</sub>); 25.6 (2 CH<sub>2</sub>); 24.7, 24.1, 23.9, 23.2 (4 CH<sub>2</sub>). CI-MS: 327 ([M + 1]<sup>+</sup>), 298 ([ $M - N_2$ ]<sup>+</sup>). Anal. calc. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O (326.43): C 69.90, H 8.03, N 17.16; found: C 70.08, H 8.00, N 17.45.

2. *Ethyl* 11-[5'-(*Phenylamino*)-1'H-1',2',3'-triazol-4'-yl]undecanoate (5). A soln. of 3 (0.744 g, 2 mmol) in Py (2 ml) was heated under reflux for 6 h. After cooling, the mixture was poured into cold H<sub>2</sub>O (100 ml), the separated oil extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, the combined org. extract washed with sat. NaCl soln., dried, and evaporated, and the residue left *in vacuo* for removing traces of Py: **5** (0.73 g, 98%). Oil. IR: 3450, 3220, 1724, 1600. 'H-NMR: 12.21 (br. *s*, NH, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O); 7.26 (*dd*, J = 7.9, 7.9, 2 arom. H<sub>m</sub>); 7.08 (*d*, J = 7.8, 2 arom. H<sub>o</sub>); 6.89 (*dd*, J = 7.3, 7.3, 1 arom. H<sub>p</sub>); 5.69 (*s*, NH–C(5'), exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O); 4.14 (*q*,  $J = 7.1, CH_2O$ ); 2.60 (*t*, J = 7.6, 2 H–C(11)); 2.29 (*t*, J = 7.5, 2H–C(2)); 1.70–1.59 (*m*, 4 H); 1.56–1.22 (*m*, 15 H), therein at 1.24 (*t*,  $J = 7.1, CH_3$ ). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 174.1 (*s*, COO); 144.8 (*s*); 143.5 (*s*); 129.1 (*d*, 2 arom. C); 128.1 (*s*, 1 arom. C); 119.9 (*d*, 1 arom. C); 115.2 (*d*, 2 arom. C); 60.3 (*t*, CH<sub>2</sub>O); 34.3, 29.3, 29.2 (3 CH<sub>2</sub>); 29.1 (3 CH<sub>2</sub>); 29.0, 28.1, 24.9, 23.9 (4 CH<sub>2</sub>); 14.2 (*q*, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O). CI-MS: 373 ([*M* + 1]<sup>+</sup>), 344 ([*M* – N<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>).

3. 2"-Cyanocyclododec-1"-en-1"-yl 11-(5'-Amino-1'-phenyl-1'H-1',2',3'-triazol-4'-yl)undecanoate (**6**). To a soln. of **1** (1.03 g, 5 mmol) and **2** (0.714 g, 6 mmol) in THF (2 ml) was added NaH (1 mmol). After stirring at 20° for 3 days, the mixture was worked up as described in *Exper.1*: **6** (0.76 g, 57%). Oil. IR (neat): 3420, 3328, 3194, 3054, 2218, 1760, 1630, 1600. 'H-NMR: 7.60–7.47 (*m*, 5 arom. H); 3.63 (br. *s*, NH<sub>2</sub>, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O); 2.90–1.19 (*m*, 40 H), therein at 2.61 (*t*, *J* = 7.6, 2 H–C(11)) and at 2.49 (*t*, *J* = 7.4, 2H–C(2)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 169.9 (*s*, COO); 164.1 (*s*, C(1")); 137.1 (*s*, 1 arom. C); 135.7 (*s*, C(5')); 130.6 (*s*, C(4')); 129.6 (*d*, 2 arom. C); 128.9 (*s*, 1 arom. C); 123.9 (*d*, 2 arom. C); 118.1 (*s*, CN); 106.0 (*s*, C(2")); 34.1, 32.5 (2 CH<sub>2</sub>); 29.3 (3 CH<sub>2</sub>); 29.2, 29.1, 29.0, 28.9, 28.8, 26.6, 26.2, 24.9, 24.8, 24.7, 24.6, 24.5, 24.4, 24.3, 23.6 (15 CH<sub>2</sub>). CI-MS: 327, 299, 265, 208.

4. *Ethyl 11-(5'-Hydroxy-1'-phenyl)-1'H-1',2',3'-triazol-4'-yl)undecanoate* (**8**). To a soln. of **7** [13] (1.27 g, 5 mmol) and **2** (0.714 g, 6 mmol) in THF (10 ml) was added 21% soln. of NaOEt in EtOH (1.92 ml, 6 mmol), the mixture was stirred at 20° for 3 days, and the solvent evaporated. The residue was dissolved in H<sub>2</sub>O (100 ml) and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 x 30 ml). The aq. phase was acidified with dil. HCl soln. and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 × 30 ml), the combined org. extract washed with H<sub>2</sub>O, dried, and evaporated, and the residue chromatographed (100 g of silica gel, Et<sub>2</sub>O): **8** (0.89 g, 48%). Oil. IR: 3450, 1738, 1602. 'H-NMR: 7.92 (*d*, *J* = 7.5, 2 arom. H); 7.47–7.34 (*m*, 3 arom. H); 6.60 (br. *s*, OH, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O); 4.13 (*q*, *J* = 7.2, CH<sub>2</sub>O); 2.62 (*t*, *J* = 7.7, 2H–C(11)); 2.28 (*t*, *J* = 7.5, 2 H–C(2)); 1.65–1.56 (*m*, 4 H); 1.44–1.04 (*m*, 15 H), therein at 1.26 (*t*, *J* = 7.2, CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR:

173.9 (*s*, COO); 152.2 (*s*, C(5')); 136.2 (*s*, 1 arom. C); 128.9 (*d*, 2 arom. C); 128.0 (*d*, 1 arom. C); 123.9 (*s*, C(4')); 122.1 (*d*, 2 arom. C); 60.1 (*t*, CH<sub>2</sub>O); 34.3, 29.6, 29.5 (3 CH<sub>2</sub>); 29.3 (2 CH<sub>2</sub>); 29.2, 29.1, 28.7, 24.9, 23.0 (5 CH<sub>2</sub>); 14.2 (*q*, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O). CI-MS: 347 ([*M* – N<sub>2</sub>]\*).

## REFERENCES

- [1] O. Dimroth, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1902, 35, 1029, 4041.
- [2] H. Wamhoff, in 'Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry', Eds. A. R. Katritzky and C. W. Rees, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1984, Vol. 5, p. 669; T. L. Gilchrist, G. E. Gymer, Adv. Heterocycl. Chem. 1974, 66, 42.
- [3] K. M. Baines, T. W. Rourke, K. Vaughan, D. L. Hooper, J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 856.
- [4] H. Wamhoff, W. Wambach, Chem.-Ztg. 1989, 113, 11; G. L'abbé, L. Beenaerts, Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 749;
  A. Dornow, J. Helberg, Chem. Ber. 1960, 93, 2001; J. R. E. Hoover, A. R. Day, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1956, 78, 5832.
- [5] P. H. Olesen, F. E. Nielsen, E. B. Pedersen, J. Becher, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1984, 21, 1603.
- [6] M. Begtrup, C. Pedersen, Acta Chem. Scand. 1969, 23, 1091.
- [7] C. Martini, W. Marrucci, A. Lucacchini, G. Biagi, O. Livi, J. Pharm. Sci. 1988, 77, 977; G. L'abbé, G. Mathys, S. Toppet, J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 1549.
- [8] B. Föhlisch, R. Herter, E. Wolf, J. J. Stezowski, E. Eckle, Chem. Ber. 1982, 115, 355.
- [9] 'Organic Syntheses', John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1955, Coll. Vol. 3, p. 710.
- [10] O. Dimroth, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1909, 364, 183.
- [11] G. L'abbé, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1984, 21, 627.
- [12] St. Bienz, M. Hesse, Helv. Chim. Acta 1987, 70, 2146; M. Hesse, 'Ring Enlargement in Organic Chemistry', Verlag Chemie, Weinheim, 1991.
- [13] J. Tsuji, T. Yamada, I. Shimizu, J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 5209.